G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1924-25 (Mng)

98-24

File No. 236-24

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

517

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for Mancl 25-

SUBJECT

Enhancement of the compensation Allce from Rs 2-8-0 Mensem Rs Office Peons from 2000 Rs to 5000 Rs, the Excess Amt being met from Savings effected for the post made in Retrenchment

G.O.Ms No 1341-2 dt 13.3.25

G. R. S. III—3].

236-U

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 408

Memorial addressed to H.H. the Maharaja by Mr. C Srinivasa Rau, Coffee planter, Chickmagalur. — Compensation in respect of Brinjarie — Inam lands.

In connection with a Com. from the Inam Comr. (D. 375 Inm. 78 24) asking for the renewal of the grant of Rs 2000/-, the whole file containing Ref. connections, was sent to Fmil. Secy. on 3/10/24. May it be returned be awaited?

Secy.

A/ 11/10/24

11/10/24

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

A/ 1-7

Y/ 12.10.24

(4. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

(2

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 18 May 1906

4.30

Review. memorial addressed to H H. the Maharaja by Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee-planter, Chickmagalur. Mug. J. no. 364-22 on the subject is submitted.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee-planter, is a permanent lessee of 100 acres of coffee lands in Kolagani village in the Chickmagalur Taluq, assigned as Devadaya inam to the Bisagna math.

Under G.O. D. 29 may 1906 Mr. Srinivasa Rao who was treated as an encumbrance was called upon to give up the lands or pay full assessment

N B -Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Mr. S. Rao having applied for a re-consideration of the said order, after a lapse of 10 years during which period the matter was under correspondence, the above order was ~~was~~ cancelled in S.O. dt June 1916 R and the lands were directed to be restored to him.

Mr. S. Rao claimed Compensation for the alleged loss in having been kept out of possession of the lands for 10 years (1906 to 1916), under the gracious orders of His Highness which were communicated in S.O. dt 13-5-1924. R Govt. sanctioned the payment to him, of ~~Rs~~ 2000/- as a matter of grace

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on ~~this~~ form.

4.7 (4

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

with the observation that his contention that he has been dispossessed of the lands was not borne out by the Records and that he cannot (contin)
have any legal claim against Govt for compensation on this account.

Mr S. Ross has memorialised H.H. The Maharaja praying for enhanced Compensation pointing out that the basis on which the above sum of Rs 2.00/ has been worked out is without all proportion to the actual loss which at the most modest estimation is Rs 4'0, 00/-

Submitted with the previous papers

M
21.11.22.

21/11/22

N. B. -Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
RECD. 26 NOV. 1924
No. 3901.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

1. 1108 - 11.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The Revenue Branch may be consulted as to the Enemy Clause abt Agl loans

27/12/24

J. C. Brander Asst Sr

29/12/24.

9 — Refce is invited to [illegible]
De 6600-603 | c 3 42-22 17
25th April 1924 Reading the
loan granted to the [illegible]
terms here set
Banks [illegible]
free of interest on [illegible]
Kenya to pay [illegible] the
balance of [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Since [illegible]
for the [illegible]
before the [illegible]
Accordingly [illegible]
C. Strachey [illegible]

N B -Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
RECD. ON 15 JAN 1925
Regd. No 5094

Dewan

Sec

Dewan

May be circulated to members for opinion if any concerning are called for

P.S.

E.S.

P.S.D. 22.1.25

22.1

The case has been already twice before Govt. Govt should be same such cases, priority in ~~Stock~~ for. However I ~~Stock~~ have no objection to the compensation granted by Innamadhu being increased. Put up Dewan's wishes may perhaps be discussed as a meeting of the Council

508
22-1-25.

5376

Dewan
24/1/25

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

Dewan

Two objections.

Circulated
2-2-25

Dewan
2/2/25

8

Extract from the Proceedings of the..... 727th ..... *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the*..... 12th February 1925.

1bB. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Representation of Mr. G. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of coffee lands belonging to the Sirsi Mutt.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

On further consideration the Council recommend that as a matter of special concession, a further sum of Rs.3000/- be granted to Mr. Srinivasa Rao.

.....

(Signed) A. R. BANERJI,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GOB- 2,000—1-3-21

G. R. S. 12]

W D 473—GPB—1,000—12 9-18

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191

Subject.- Representation of Mr.C.Srinivasa A Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhanceme. of the compensation granted to him in respec. of coffee lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9 * of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8--G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

*9 (a) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(b) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt

10

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 284

D 19 24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the schedule)

Representation of Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of coffee lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C. Ramachandra

2nd February 1925. Offg. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

Extraordinary Member of Council.

6/2 3/2 **First Member of Council.** 4/2

Second Member of Council. 4/2

Third Member of Council.

H

SECOND
Final Note by the ~~First~~ Member of Council.

Subject:—

Representation of Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, coffee planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of coffee lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt.

"H" I beg to invite kind reference to my Final Note submitted on the 16th November 1923 wherein the facts of the case have been given in detail.

"R" In pursuance of His Highness' orders, Government Order No. 1647-8/Muz. 364-22-6 dated 13th May 1924 was issued. sanctioning as an act of grace, the payment to Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, of a sum of Rs.2,000 in view of the loss which he must have sustained - owing to the uncertainty and suspense in which he was kept with regard to his title to the lands for a period of 10 years (1906-1916) during which his revision application was pending before Government. "G" On this, Mr. Srinivasa Rao submitted a memorial to His Highness praying for a substantial enhancement of the compensation, as he had suffered a loss of about Rs.40,000. The memorial having been sent here for disposal, the matter was considered at the meeting of the Council held on the 12th February 1925 when it was resolved as follows:- "T"

> "On further consideration, the Council recommend that, as a matter of special concession, a further sum of Rs.3,000 be granted to Mr. Srinivasa Rao."

The resolution of the Council is herewith submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

17-2-1925

2

Submitted to His Highness.

.....

I submit herewith for His Highness' gracious approva the Final Note by the Second Member of Council regarding representation of Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of coffee lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt.

B a n g a l o r e,)
)
20th February 1925.)

Hy Hemingway Rusen
Hy Dewan

S.N.

13

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Representation of Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of coffee -- lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt.

566

Order.

C 284

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192.

Serial No.

Representation of M. C. Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, Chickmagalur, for enhancement of Compensation granted to him in respect of Coffee Lands belonging to the Bisagni Mutt.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of *

His Highness' orders $\frac{\text{before}}{\text{after}}$ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

K Ramachandra Rao

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,
.....Revenue.....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mono WD 1335—GPB—500—16-1-23.

1239 (1

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 236 of 192 26

Serial No.

Submitted with a Draft order

AV 25.2.25 RG 25.2.25

Draft for appl. A demand for the sum will have to be placed before the Economic Legislative Council.

RG 25.2.25

Rec'd

RG 26.2.25

705/26.2

It is not possible to find some provision in the Rev Budget from which the charge could be met. The Rev Secy will please enquire if Budget provision exists under any head

26/2

Ans. Rev. Budget will contain provision of 3.30 lakhs for this purpose

27.2.25

The sum may perhaps be debited to the grant under 'Village Improvements'. There is a provision of Rs 35000 in the Budget as per statement in the Budget. The actual Expenditure in the year 1922-23 is Rs 13182 only. The Rev. Admn Report for 1923-24 shows that only a sum of 4 6030 has been spent last year.

RH

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 5 22

It seems to be certain that there will be a large saving in the grants - under this head.

27-2-25

Rec. Secy)

On a re-examn of the Budget it is found that there is a provn of Rs 5400 made for the Entertainment of Rao. Sheikdar. But - actually only 4 were appointed & a Cost of Rs 650 + 220 = Rs 870 has been sanctioned for their trainig. Including their pay for about 8 months = 960, the total Expr would be Rs 30. It is seen the sum of Rs 3000 will be paid to the Srinivasa Rao's pay will be met from the provn savings under this head. This has to be done by the Finl Dept of Govt.

3.3.25.

Rec Secy.

123/27 1897

The drafts are sent in modified, and can be sent for approval.

In consult with Finl Dept, if necessary.

5/3 Kgs

1939/25

6.3.25.

6/3/25

If the savings pointed out above is available it will be well to make use of it in preference to going beyond the provn for this comparatively small demand.

6.3.25

1239

B193

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22/P of 24.

Serial No.

Subd for perusal. A Respondentia will be put up for appt. meeting this charge from the Sanction proposed by this Asstt Secy in his Note dt 8.3.25

8/3 7/3/25

7/3/25

7/3

Typed Draft. order Submitted.

7/3/25 7.3.25

7.3.25.

208 7.3 18 members

Draft approved

7/3

8/3

Issue

9.3.25.

9/3

H 2035-24

D 16/3/25

For financial opinion please see previous page.

Papers for Communicating Sanction.

16/3.

17.3.25

L. H. Capsie

H 5-153 Audit 17/3/25

Serial No 2

To the Mavgai Unnami Ukkuttuchchi No. 1501-2 d. 13-3-25. Sanctions an additional sum of Rs. 3000 to Mr. V. Srinivasa Rao in full settlement of all his claims, the charge being met from the Saving effected in the Revenue Budget of the current year under Establishment of Peons.

These papers may be sent to the Revenue Branch for perusal of the order issued in the case.

18/3/25 Rev Br)

20/3

Subd
20.3.25

Noted & return to Revenue Branch

21/3/25

Noted & returned

Revenue Br
21-3-25.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 1[illegible]

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

No. [illegible] 15251

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 2 [illegible] 1924

[illegible] 408

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
5 - OCT 1924
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE
BRANCH.

1 - OCT. 1924

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... Department, Bangalore, for [illegible]

By Order,

[illegible]
Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

No. [illegible] of 24-25

Chikmagalur,
Dated, 29th Sep. 1924.

Dear Sir,

Adverting to the interview which you were last pleased to give me, I am enclosing, for favour of your kind perusal, a brief resume of my case, and crave your indulgence for trespassing on your valued time and attention.

As you will see from the Note, the position is that a property worth Rs. 50,000/-, being a coffee Estate yielding at least Rs. 4,000/- a year, which was mine having been bought out-right after a mortgage, was taken out of my possession and enjoyment by Government, on its own responsibility, during the pendency of an appeal, for ten long years, and was finally made over to me, not as a fine coffee plantation that it was when it was taken out of me but as jungle overgrown with shrub and wood.

Government have been pleased to recognise the injury and have paid me a couple of thousand rupees as compensation. There is thus, at present, no question whether injury has been done to me or not. All that I desire to point out is that the basis on which the figure has been worked out is without all proportion to the actual loss which at the most modest estimation is -- Rs. 40,000/-

It is disheartening and painful to find oneself, at my advanced age of life, with the position and place I hold in the Province, among His Highness' loyal and dutiful subjects, to have to shoulder such a heavy loss for which, neither in law nor in equity, is there any justification whatsoever. Never too rich this amount means everything to me, and I request you to be so good as to interest yourself in my behalf for I know the result, once you are acquainted with the facts narrated in the note.

I am sorry I have not been fortunate enough to get a chance of explaining this to His Highness for there can be no doubt that His Highness would have been graciously pleased to put in a word on my behalf. I regret that I have to trouble you in the circumstances but the result cannot be different.

I need hardly say that for your kind assistance and intercession I shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Yours sincerely

Srinivas Rao

Coffee Planter, Chikmagalur.

To

Amin-ul-Mulk

Mirza M. Ismail Esquire, C.I.E, O.B.E,

Private Secretary to His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

M Y S O R E

BRIEF MEMORANDUM OF Mr.C.Srinivasa Rao's

Hirekhan Mutt case.

1. Before the year 1866, a sum of Rs. 30,000/- had been lent to the Bisagni Mutt Swami to rebuild the dilapidated Mutt.

2. In 1866 when the Registration Act came into force the then head of the Mutt mortgaged with possession 21 of the Mutt properties to one Yelemallappa Setty for Rs. 30,000/- with the right to levy 3 seers per maund of coffee from all the inferior holders of the Mutt lands.

3. The said mortgage and the rights thereon were transferred to my uncle the late Mr. Naranappa and on his death I succeeded him with possession to the property.

4. On the 11th June 1880, the claims of the Bisagni Mutt Inam were rejected by the Inam Superintendent. But on representation, His Highness's Government confirmed it as Inam in their proceedings No. 710-11-7 dated the 28th April 1884.

5. At this juncture I made an agreement with the then head of the Mutt retaining one estate of 111 acres of jungle in complete satisfaction of the debt and agreeing to pay the Quitrent to the Government and enjoy the remaining Jari amount.

6. At the Survey settlement of 1887, the fact of the above agreement between myself and head of the Mutt, was represented to the settlement Officer who was also the Deputy Commissioner at this time. He

He approved of the same after enquiring into my claims.

By a Government Order No. 710-11-7 dated the 28th April 1884 in para 9th it is ordered that the - Deputy Commissioner is further authorised to retain the Mutt lands in question under his management for two or three years in order to ensure the complete introduction of the settlement and the final adjustment of the various petty disputes between the superior and inferior holders. I was not disturbed in my possession of the 111 acres granted to me.

7. Subsequent to the above survey settlement the whole management of the Mutt properties was taken up by the Government and I continued to be in possession and enjoyment of my lands and to pay the quitrent direct to the Government and the same was accepted by them.

8. In the 1892 on the death of Chennaveera Swamy the head of the Mutt, the then Amildar submitted a report on the financial condition of the Mutt to the Government in which he mentions the agreement between myself and the late Chennaveera Swamy.

9. In the year 1901 the then Manager of the Mutt put in an application to the Deputy Commissioner to - recover the full assessment from me. The Deputy Commissioner after enquiry decided the case in my favour.

10. On this order he preferred an appeal to the Government. They instead of ordering the full assessment to be recovered from me as desired by the Manager, passed orders for the attachment and handing over of my estate to the Mutt authorities.

11. In the last para of the appeal petition the

Agent

Agent only asks " That for these reasons and for such I humbly beg that orders be issued to levy taxes from Mr. Sreenivasa Rao like other holders of the Mutt lands."

12. The Government in their Proceedings No. - 5327-8 dated 15-5-1906 without giving me a chance to explain myself passed an exparte order against me - ordering me to hand over my property to the Mutt authorities.

13. In accordance with the Government Order the Amildar issued a notice to me to hand over my estate to the Mutt authorities.

14. In reply to the Amildar's Notice I protested against the handing over the lands to the Mutt.

15. Further I wrote to the Deputy Commissioner protesting against the order of handing over the lands and also explained to him in my petition that the - Manager only asks for the full assessment, where as the Government ordered the relinquishment of the land. He refused to listen to my prayers and asked me to appeal to the Government which I did , and it took ten years for the Government to cancel and revoke their order. During this time I had to make nearly 30 to 40 trips to Bangalore.

16. On the 8th July 1919 I presented a petition to Government praying for a compensation of Rs. 16,000/- for all the heavy losses sustained by me during the - attachment.

17. The Dewan asked me to obtain the opinion of the leading Madras Advocates about the legality of my claims.

18. At a cost of Rs. 1,200/- I secured the -

the opinion of Mr. K. Sreenivasa Iyengar the late - Executive Councillor of the Madras Council and that of the Dewan Bahadur L.A. Govindaraghava Iyer, High Court Vakil of Madras, who gave the opinions as follows:-

Mr. Govindaraghava Iyer says:-

I " Although he gave up possession of the said lands his rights to the lands were not of course given up".

II " On the 9th June 1906 the Government of his Highness directed the cancellation and with drawal of their - order dated the 15th May 1906 thus vindicating the - justice of the claim of Mr. Sreenivasa Rao to the said lands.

III. " Under the law , Mr. Sreenivasa Rao could not have sued the Government for the vindication of his rights as the order directing him to give up possession was an order directly of the Government and he could have - petitioned the Government as he has done for a reversal of the order. He is of course not responsible for the delay that there has been in the disposal of the above petition and the unhappy consequences that attended the delay. He must have been emboldened by the belief that according to the usual and gracious practice of His - Highness's Government if once he satisfied them that - ~~they~~ any loss he was to was due to their orders, the necessary redress would be granted to him. The compensation prayed for by him appears to be modest in the circumstances of the case."

IV. " Mr. K. Sreenivasa Iyengar in his opinion says that Mr. Sreenivasa Rao and his predecessors were in possession continuously from 1866 down to 1906".

V. " When the Government granted the Inam in 1884 the

the Mutt's mortgagee was in possession, and the - Government had no title to the land, but was only - entitled to Impose as assessment, and the Inam which they granted was only of their right to a revenue and that and that alone being their right.".

VI. " The only power under the rules which the Government can have is to impose the full assessment on the 100 acres".

VII. " Mr. C. Sreenivasa Rao would have an action for recovery of the land and mense profits from the Government in a Court of Law against the Government."

19. After repeated petitions and appeals to the Government they offered to grant me a sum of - Rs. 2,000/- as an act of grace - a paltry sum which is quite inadequate to compensate me for the heavy losses I have sustained. But they have failed to see that their order is against their own expressed - requests to the Deputy Commissioner and also that they granted to the appelent possession of my estate while his prayer was for the full assessment of the land.

20. I beg most humbly to bring to the notice of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore that the - Government in direct contradiction of their own orders deprived me of my property - a well cultivated coffee estate valued at Rs. 50,000/- and handed it over to the Mutt authorities although they never asked for or wanted the property. After the lapse of 10 long years during which I had to make numerous journeys to Bangalore to represent my case and after I was told to - obtain legal opinion, I had an abandoned jungle returned to me, in liew of a flourishing and profitable Coffee

coffee estate.

As I am precluded taking Civil Proceedings in a Court of law to represent my claims and losses and I have no other remedy than to represent my case to your Highness in the hope that your Highness will take my case into your kind and gracious consideration and order your Highness's Government to give me such compensation as your Highness may think adequate.

Srinivasan
Coffee Planter

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

Section Mus.

File No. 23-24

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 13-3-25

Signed by Asst. T. R.

Read—

Government Proceedings No.1647-8/Muz.364-23-6 dated 13th May 1924 sanctioning as an act of grace, the payment to Mr.C.Srinivasa Rao of a sum of Rs.2,000/- in view of the loss sustained by him owing to the uncertainty and suspense in which he was kept with regard to his title to some coffee lands endowed to Bisagnia Matt in the Chickmagalur Taluk for a period of about 10 years during which his review application was pending before Government.

2. Memorial registered No.408 dated the 29th September 1924 addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Mr.C.Srinivasa Rao, Coffee Planter, praying for enhancement of the compensation granted to him in respect of the coffee lands referred to

Order No. 1341-2

Dated, Bangalore, 5 1925.

On a further consideration of all the circumstances of the case, Government

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

are pleased to sanction as a matter of special concession, an additional sum of Rs.3,000/- to Mr.C.Srinivasa Rao, in full settlement of all his claims. The charge ~~being~~ met from the savings effected out of the provision made in the Revenue Budget of the current year for entertainment of Probationery - Shekdars.

Rev: Secy:- to Govt:

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government Thro' Fin. Branch

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19